Research paper

SYNERGY BETWEEN ARCHITECT AND ALGORITHM – THE EVOLUTION OF ARCHITECTURAL DESIGN PROCESS THROUGH DIGITAL TOOLS

Jovana Tomić[1], Sonja Krasić[2], Isidora Mitrović[3]

Abstract

This paper examines how digital tools have transformed the architectural design process – from early CAD systems and parametric modeling to generative design and modern AI-based algorithms. The focus is on the relationship between the architect and the algorithm in shaping architectural solutions. Over time, digital tools have enhanced the precision and efficiency of architectural design. However, with the rise of generative design and multi-objective optimization, algorithms have evolved from being just tools to becoming active participants in the creative process. Through a historical overview and an analysis of tools, the paper explores how algorithms influence form, functionality and energy efficiency while architects retain creative control. The conclusion highlights a hybrid model as the most effective approach in modern architectural design, where the algorithm processes data and optimizes solutions, while the architect sets the creative framework and makes final decisions.

Key words: *Architect, Algorithm, Generative Design, Optimization, Design Process*

[1] Master in Architecture, Teaching Assistant, Faculty of Civil Engineering and Architecture, University of Nis, Serbia, jovana.tomic@gaf.ni.ac.rs, ORCID 0000-0003-2594-4764

[2] Ph.D., Full Professor, Faculty of Civil Engineering and Architecture, University of Nis, Serbia, sonja.krasic@gaf.ni.ac.rs, ORCID 0000-0002-3003-1022

[3] Master in Architecture, Teaching Associate, Faculty of Civil Engineering and Architecture, University of Nis, Serbia, isidora.mitrovic@gaf.ni.ac.rs, ORCID 0009-0007-5734-2289

1. INTRODUCTION

Architectural design has historically been shaped by the evolution of tools that allowed architects to express space in accordance with technological capabilities and social conditions. From hand-drawn sketches and physical models to CAD software, parametric systems, and BIM environments, each technological shift not only improved workflow but also influenced how architects think about space, form, and the role of design itself.

In contemporary practice, digital tools are no longer used solely for documentation or visualization, they now enable the generation, simulation, and real-time evaluation of design decisions. Generative design and multi-objective optimization have introduced new methodological approaches [1], while the growing integration of artificial intelligence (AI) raises additional questions, not only technical, but also theoretical, methodological, and ethical. It can be argued that architectural practice is undergoing a paradigm shift in which algorithmic systems are no longer passive support mechanisms but active participants in the design process.

According to Branko Kolarević [2], architectural practice has shifted from traditional drawing to form generation through digital processes, while Mario Carpo [3] considers digital tools to be instruments of thought in contemporary design. Robert Woodbury [4] and Wassim Jabi [5] emphasize the importance of the parametric approach in redefining the relationship between form and algorithmic logic. In this context, the increasing presence of AI technologies introduces not only new tools but also a new epistemology in which design can adapt, learn, and evaluate itself based on input data.

This paper explores how digital tools from early CAD systems to contemporary AI models have influenced the redefinition of the architect's role in the design process. Particular emphasis is placed on the current phase of development, in which the architect no longer acts as an isolated author, but rather as a participant in an interactive relationship with algorithmic structures. The methodology is based on a historical-analytical approach and a comparative evaluation, with the aim of assessing their impact on creative autonomy, workflow, and decision-making within the contemporary architectural context.

2. THE EVOLUTION OF ARCHITECTURAL TOOLS AND THE TRANSFORMATION OF PROFESSIONAL PRACTICE

This study applies a historical-analytical method with the aim of examining the evolution of computerization within the architectural profession. The periodization is based on the framework proposed by Michael Fox and Bradley Bell [6], as it provides a clearer insight into the developmental phases of digital tools and their impact on the changing role of the architect.

The analysis is structured across the following chronological phases:

- Prologue (…1972);
- Pioneering (1973-1981);
- Translation (1982-1991);
- Appropriation (1992-2003);
- Experimentation (2004-2012);
- Legitimacy (2013-2018) and
- Paradigms (2019-2024).

Each of these phases reflects specific changes in both software and hardware tools, as well as shifts in how architectural practice is conceptualized. The focus of the research is on the transformation of the architect's role in relation to technology, with particular attention given to the emergence of new methodological approaches in design.

2.1. Prologue (…1972)

This period was marked by a gradual transformation of both architectural tools and the role of the architect. Prior to the advent of computers, architectural documentation was produced manually through sketches and perspective drawings initially using stone and graphite, and later rapidographs, rulers, and drafting tables.

The historical roots of the profession trace back to ancient Egypt, with Imhotep (c. 2650 BCE) representing the archetype of the architect as a universal intellectual figure. In ancient Rome, Vitruvius laid the theoretical foundation for architectural practice, while during the Middle Ages, the architect appeared as a "master mason", simultaneously responsible for design and construction. The Renaissance redefined this role through Alberti and Brunelleschi, positioning the architect as an intellectual and author of design intent. The invention of the printing press facilitated the standardization of architectural drawings, while the 19th-century cyanotype process introduced mechanical reproduction and early automation [6].

In the second half of the 20th century, the development of electronic computers launched a new technological era. The 1957 experimental system PRONTO marked one of the first attempts at digital design. In 1967, the founding of the Architecture Machine Group at MIT by Nicholas Negroponte and Leon Groisser fostered research in interactive and adaptive design. Ivan Sutherland's Sketchpad (1963) became the first graphical software enabling parametric manipulation of geometry and introduced a novel form of on-screen visual representation, allowing real-time interaction between the designer and digital drawing [7]. Douglas Engelbart, in the same period, introduced the computer mouse and hypertext-based interaction. In 1971, Luigi Moretti coined the term "parametric architecture", linking design decisions with mathematical modeling [8]. Minsky and Chomsky's early work in AI and linguistics paved the way for algorithmic design theories, later formalized by Gips and Stiny through the introduction of shape grammars.

Representative architectural projects include:
- The TWA Flight Center, New York City, Eero Saarinen and Associates and
- Olympic Stadium, Munich, Frei Otto and Günther Behnisch.

This period established key theoretical and technological foundations for digital architecture, envisioning the computer not as a replacement, but as an intelligent partner in the design process.

2.2 Pioneering (1973-1981)

This period marks the transition of digital design from experimental laboratories into broader academic and educational practice. Computers became more accessible, and the first software and hardware tools specifically tailored to architectural needs were developed.

The Architecture Machine Group at MIT developed the software URBAN5, which enabled two-way communication between the user and the computer via a linguistic interface. This concept anticipated contemporary ideas of generative design, intelligent

systems, and interactive environments. One of its creators, Nicholas Negroponte, in his visionary reflections, suggested that professional intermediaries in the design process would become obsolete foreshadowing the emergence of artificial intelligence [9]. In contrast, Nigel Cross initiated important discussions on the relationship between humans and machines in design, emphasizing the importance of understanding the cognitive aspects of creativity [10].

At the same time, William J. Mitchell, in his book Computer-Aided Architectural Design, established a theoretical framework for analyzing the relationship between computing technologies and architectural practice [11]. During the same period, George Stiny and James Gips developed the concept of shape grammars, a rule-based system for form generation that would become the foundation for parametric and algorithmic design [12]. Their work was later expanded by Dennis Sheldon and Larry Sass, who introduced these principles into practical software applications.

Don Greenberg of Cornell University introduced the concept of computer visualization, developing some of the earliest digital tools for architectural representation. Simultaneously, Dean Hankes and Richard Stibbs developed software systems for analyzing building energy performance, signaling the future development of BIM (Building Information Modeling) environments, while Charles Eastman laid their theoretical foundations by integrating design and technical data into a unified digital model.

Representative architectural projects include:

- Sainsbury Centre for Visual Arts, Norwich, Foster + Partners and
- Centre Pompidou, Paris, Renzo Piano and Richard Rogers.

This period shaped the foundational concepts of digital design and laid the groundwork for the broader integration of computers into the architectural profession.

2.3. Translation (1982-1991)

This phase marks the shift of digital design from experimental labs to everyday architectural and educational practice. Personal computers became more accessible, while CAD tools moved into offices and schools.

Companies such as Autodesk (AutoCAD) and Graphisoft (ARCHICAD) took leading roles. AutoCAD 1.0 (1982) became an industry standard for digital drafting, while ARCHICAD (1987) introduced the concept of Building Information Modeling (BIM), enabling the integration of graphical and numerical project data. At the same time, tools such as Vectorworks (formerly MiniCAD), FormZ, and 3ds Max (formerly 3D Studio, 1990) emerged, offering advanced 3D modeling, visualization, and animation capabilities.

During this period, the development of digital tools followed two distinct trajectories: one focused on improving existing architectural workflows through efficiency and standardization (e.g. AutoCAD, CADAM), and the other on redefining design approaches through integrated modeling and multidimensional thinking (e.g. ARCHICAD, SONATA, Reflex).

Artistic and research projects such as The Legible City by Jeffrey Shaw and the VPL Research DataSuit illustrate how digital methods, including early forms of AR and VR, were expanding into new areas of creative exploration [13]. At the same time, CAD was formally introduced into academic curricula in the late 1980s, making digital skills an essential part of architectural education.

The Translation phase marks a turning point when CAD tools shifted from technical aids to creative design partners, as computers became instruments for generating, testing, and optimizing architectural ideas.

2.4. Appropriation (1992-2003)

During this period, digital technologies moved from specialized tools to integral components of architectural practice. Architects actively adapted them, often drawing solutions from fields such as aerospace, animation, and industrial design, which encouraged experimentation and a critical rethinking of professional norms.

A notable example from this period is the fish sculpture designed for the 1992 Barcelona Olympics by Gehry Partners, developed using Digital Project [14], an architectural adaptation of the CATIA system. This software enabled parametric control and optimization of complex forms based on structural and material constraints, establishing a foundation for the integration of BIM principles and algorithmically generated architecture.

During the same period, tools such as Rhino, SketchUp, Revit, Blender, and Maya were increasingly adopted by firms like Zaha Hadid Architects and MAD Architects. Notably, the development of Grasshopper, a visual scripting plugin for Rhino, introduced algorithmic thinking and scripting logic into everyday design workflows. The first built example of a parametric design approach was the Waterloo International Terminal in London (1993), developed using MicroStation.

Theoretical discourse during this period further deepened. Bernard Tschumi questioned the relationship between digital tools and architectural theory, while Greg Lynn developed the concept of folding. Through agent-based modeling and swarm behavior, CAAD pioneer Paul Coates defined architecture as a DNA-like system, where simple rules can generate complex forms [15]. In parallel, the concept of "cybertecture" emerged, integrating interactivity, adaptability, and system intelligence. John Frazer defined architecture as a living system capable of learning and evolution [16]. Branko Kolarević identified a new generation of architects who use digital tools not only for form-making but also to integrate design and construction, a process that, according to him, had not been seen since the era of Gothic cathedrals [2].

Institutional support for digital architecture strengthened during this period. Networks such as ACADIA, eCAADe, CAAD Futures, and SIGraDi were established and became actively engaged, along with the creation of the CUMINCAD database. In 2003, the first issue of the International Journal of Architectural Computing (IJAC) was published.

The phase of appropriation thus marked not only technological advancement but also a profound cultural and epistemological transformation of architectural practice.

2.5. Experimentation (2004-2012)

During this period, the concept of parametric design gains clear theoretical and methodological articulation, establishing itself as a specific form of computer-aided design. Although its foundations had been laid earlier, only now does it acquire a defined status within architectural theory and practice.

Key figures of this phase, Mark Burry, and Patrik Schumacher, develop different approaches and interpretations. Mark Burry is particularly known for implementing CAD technologies in the construction of complex structures, such as the Sagrada Familia, significantly enhancing precision and efficiency. Patrik Schumacher introduces the term "parametricism" as a theoretical and aesthetic framework of the 21st century, based on variability, connectivity, and formal adaptability [17].

At the same time, algorithmic approaches are gaining momentum. The publication Programming Architecture by Paul Coates offers an introduction to the use of programming languages for form generation. In his book Elements of Parametric Design, Robert Woodbury develops a methodology grounded in operations and systems logic, bridging architecture and computer science [4]. Wassim Jabi and Kostas Terzidis expand this discourse with generative techniques such as evolutionary strategies, cellular automata, swarm intelligence, and shape grammars, introducing algorithmic processes into design [5].

This period also marks the rise of digital fabrication and visual scripting. Although programming languages had been present earlier, visual coding within modeling environments now becomes a key design tool. John Maeda laid the groundwork as early as 1996, while Marc Fornes promoted visual scripting as a core method of parametric modeling. Designers increasingly recognize the agency of tools: the design system is no longer neutral but consists of interconnected elements, i.e. designer, code, user, robotic systems, and context. Design becomes a process of interaction, participation, and algorithmic adaptation. This approach culminates in tools such as Rhino and Grasshopper, which enable visual linking of geometric parameters and algorithms. The integration of Python and C# scripting opens up space for the development of custom design methodologies.

Simultaneously, technological transfer from other industries continues to shape architecture. Building automation, remote control, and spatial personalization become everyday realities, driven by advances in sensor technology and computational power. By the end of this decade, digital tools become an integral part of everyday practice in most globally relevant architecture firms.

Representative architectural projects include:

- Beijing National Stadium, Herzog & de Meuron and
- Heydar Aliyev Center, Baku, Zaha Hadid Architects.

The transformation phase is characterized by an intense drive toward research, coding, and experimentation, where design and technology converge into an integrated and coherent architectural practice.

2.6. Legitimacy (2013-2018)

This period marks the transition of digital practice from an experimental to a validated phase, characterized by localized and applied research directions. Digital tools become standard in architectural practice, used not only for form generation but also for analysis, simulation, and decision-making.

Mario Carpo emphasizes that digital tools in this period became instruments of thought rather than mere production means. He notes that contemporary architectural practice relies on searching, simulation, and data analysis, enabled by widespread access to information and digital resources [3]. Symbolically, the founding of OpenAI in 2015 signals a new direction in which algorithmic intelligence enters the creative industries, including architecture. In his book Parametric Design for Architecture [4], Wassim Jabi provides practical examples and scripts, highlighting the shift in design thinking toward algorithmic and systemic logic, while Patrik Schumacher continues to advocate for "parametricism" as an architectural approach in his extension of The Autopoiesis of Architecture.

The focus shifts toward biologically inspired and ecologically oriented approaches. The development of biomimetics opens new avenues of research based on insights from robotics and material science. Michael Hensel, Achim Menges, and Michael Weinstock view nature

as a sophisticated engineer whose principles should be transferred into architecture through adaptive and evolutionary systems. In this context, Gordon Pask, in the introduction to An Evolutionary Architecture, states that the architect is no longer the designer of a predetermined form, but rather a catalyst for evolutionary processes in which buildings evolve like organisms [18]. This logic leads to digital morphogenesis, inspired by dynamic, nonlinear, and non-repetitive patterns found in nature.

In practice, BIM technology is increasingly used as a real-time parametric system, while AR and VR tools enable immediate spatial evaluation and user experience testing.

Representative architectural projects include:

- Morpheus Hotel, Cotai, Zaha Hadid Architects and
- Louvre, Abu Dhabi, Jean Nouvel.

The phase of legitimacy represents mature and systematic use of digital tools as a standard part of architectural practice, accompanied by growing collaboration between academic institutions and the professional sector.

2.7. Paradigms (2019-)

This period marks a turning point in architectural practice, shaped by global challenges such as climate change, health crises, and social inequality. Instead of being limited to form generation, digital tools are now applied to broader challenges including ecological concerns, healthcare, poverty reduction, and urban development.

A key shift in this phase is the integration of artificial intelligence into the design process. Tools based on deep learning models, such as ChatGPT, DALL·E, and Midjourney, have evolved from visualization aids into active design collaborators. Mario Carpo refers to them as "thinking machines," while theorists like Matias del Campo, Patrik Schumacher, and Neil Leach [17] define AI as a new aesthetic and theoretical paradigm in architecture.

Figure 1. Fast and realistic massing visualization using AI models trained on Zaha Hadid Architects-specific datasets [19]

In practice, offices such as Foster + Partners and Zaha Hadid Architects [19], [20] (Figure 1) leverage AI to analyze user spatial behavior, encode spatial semiotics, and incorporate synthetic datasets into early design stages. Simultaneously, platforms like Veras, Firefly, and Midjourney assist not only in generating photorealistic visuals and stylistic variants but also in optimizing spatial configurations.

Models such as GPT and GAN further transform the architect's role. While GPT systems (e.g., ChatGPT) offer cognitive assistance in ideation and decision-making, GAN models raise questions of authorship and aesthetic control. This marks a shift from formal authorship to process-based collaboration, i.e. a transformation explored by authors like Philip Bernstein and research collectives such as the Neural Network Group.

Another defining element of this period is the widespread use of digital twins—virtual replicas of buildings that simulate and respond to real-time data. When integrated with BIM technologies, they enable comprehensive performance monitoring, from energy efficiency and structural behavior to user experience. Platforms such as ARCHITEChTURES, Hypar, and Digital Blue Foam support this data-driven approach by generating and evaluating design scenarios interactively.

The paradigm is thus shifting from the architect as a sole creator to a collaborative curator, working alongside intelligent systems. The central question is no longer what AI will become, but rather how architecture can actively shape its evolution.

3. RESULTS

To examine the evolution of the architect–algorithm relationship, this study analyzes chronological phases across five parameters: dominant tools, defining characteristics, key software, the evolving role of the architect and representative projects (Table 1).

Table 1. The evolution of architectural design process through digital tools

Prologue (... 1972)	
Dominant tools	Manual tools: stone, graphite, rapidographs, drafting tables
Defining characteristics	Gradual shift from manual to early digital tools; theoretical and conceptual foundations of digital architecture
Key software	PRONTO, Sketchpad
The evolving role of the architect	From master builder to intellectual author; emergence of computer as intelligent partner in design
Projects	The TWA Flight Center, Olympic Stadium, The Fun Palace
Pioneering (1973–1981)	
Dominant tools	Experimental digital systems, light pens, early CAD tools
Defining characteristics	Rise of experimental digital tools and interactive environments; emergence of parametric thinking and algorithmic logic
Key software	URBAN5, early visualization tools
The evolving role of the architect	Experimental collaborator; architect begins shaping the interface between human and machine
Projects	Sainsbury Centre for Visual Arts, Centre Pompidou
Translation (1982-1991)	
Dominant tools	CAD software, early 3D modeling, AR/VR
Defining characteristics	CAD adoption, BIM emergence, 3D modeling integration, digital tools in education
Key software	AutoCAD, ARCHICAD, Vectorworks, FormZ, 3D Studio
The evolving role of the architect	From draftsman to design partner; focus on simulation and coordination
Projects	The Legible City, The VPL Research DataSuit
Appropriation (1992-2003)	
Dominant tools	Parametric design tools, scripting, digital fabrication
Defining characteristics	Digital tools integrated into design and construction; experimentation; rise of scripting and fabrication logic
Key software	Rhino, Grasshopper, CATIA/Digital Project, Maya, Processing
The evolving role of the architect	Author-coder; from form-maker to system thinker
Projects	Fish, International Terminal Waterloo, Water Pavilion

Experimentation (2004-2012)	
Dominant tools	Parametric modeling, visual scripting, digital fabrication
Defining characteristics	Theorization and expansion of parametricism; rise of interactive design, custom scripting, and fabrication systems
Key software	Rhino +Grasshopper, Processing, Arduino, Revit, Maya, Python/C# plugins
The evolving role of the architect	System designer and experimenter; interactive participant
Projects	BMW Welt, Beijing National Stadium, Heydar Aliyev Center
Legitimacy (2013-2018)	
Dominant tools	Standardized parametric tools, BIM, environmental simulation
Defining characteristics	Digital tools become validated and institutionalized; integration of design and construction; data-driven design
Key software	Revit, Grasshopper, Ladybug, Dynamo, BIM 360, Unity
The evolving role of the architect	Strategic integrator and collaborator
Projects	Morpheus Hotel, Harbin Opera House, Louvre Abu
Paradigms (2019-)	
Dominant tools	Generative AI, digital twins, real-time simulation, AR/VR
Defining characteristics	AI as design partner; shift to performative and data-driven architecture; cognitive collaboration with algorithms
Key software	ChatGPT, Midjourney, Stable Diffusion, ARCHITEChTURES, Hypar, Digital Blue Foam, Veras
The evolving role of the architect	Strategic guide of data and co-designer with AI
Projects	ZHA AI experiments, Foster + Partners ARD, Delve urban simulations, synthetic data set-driven designs

Architectural tools have evolved significantly, from primitive manual instruments such as stone and graphite, through technical drafting and CAAD systems, to contemporary scripting, parametric modeling, and AI-based platforms. They now influence not just the process, but also the architect's design logic and creative mindset.

In the early phases, the computer was perceived as a technical assistant, primarily used for precise drawing and visualization. The architect retained full control over the design process, while the computer's role was limited to reproduction and accuracy, without any substantial impact on design logic.

The Appropriation phase marks the first significant shift. The architect now becomes an author-coder, using digital tools not only for representation but also for generating form and experimenting with construction. This stage introduces a bidirectional interaction with software, where the design process increasingly depends on scripting and parametric rules.

Rather than a linear progression, we observe an increasingly deep integration of algorithms into the creative process, with the architect acting as a guide rather than a sole author. In the Experimentation phase, the architect's role shifts from formative to strategic. Instead of directly shaping the final outcome, the architect defines system behavior, sets goals, and analyzes solutions generated by the algorithm through iterative processes. Design becomes a negotiation between human intuition and computational evaluation.

The Legitimacy phase brings the institutionalization of algorithmic thinking. BIM systems, analytical platforms, and performance-driven tools introduce complex models into everyday

practice, expanding the architect's role from shaping to coordinating, evaluating, and integrating systemic decisions.

In the current Paradigms phase, a cognitive symbiosis emerges between human and machine. Artificial intelligence is no longer used merely as a tool, but as an active participant in decision-making. It generates, analyzes, optimizes, and proposes solutions, while the architect assumes responsibility for the ethical, cultural, and strategic framework within which the algorithm operates.

The synergy between architect and algorithm has thus evolved into a model of co-authorship, in which architectural form is no longer solely the product of human intent, but the negotiated outcome of a dynamic interplay between cognitive intuition and computational intelligence.

4. DISCUSSION

The synergy between the architect and the algorithm, originally analyzed through the historical periods defined by Michael Fox and Bradley Bell [6], creates an opportunity for a new classification. Instead of basing the timeline solely on technological progress, the proposed framework focuses on the level of interaction between human and algorithm. This approach allows for a more precise understanding of the evolving roles, authorship, and responsibility within digital design. The proposed classification is presented in Table 2.

Table 2. The phases of interaction between architect and algorithm in the design process

Phase	Architect–Algorithm Relationship
Technical Assistance	The architect leads the process/ the algorithm serves as a tool supporting traditional methods
Initiating the Dialogue	The architect sets the rules/ the algorithm responds – the dialogue begins to take shape
Coordinated Collaboration	Decisions emerge through mutual evaluation/ responsibility and control are shared
Creative Partnership	The architect and the algorithm generate solutions together as equal partners in synergy

In contemporary practice, the emphasis is increasingly shifting from the final form to the design process itself, prompting a renewed consideration of authorship, control, and responsibility in workflows supported by algorithmic methods.

A central question arises: how can architectural authenticity be preserved in the context of automation? While algorithmic approaches offer numerous advantages, they simultaneously present significant challenges. Branko Kolarević [2] notes that relying on automated processes may result in forms stripped of authentic expression, neglecting both local and cultural context. Earlier generations of digital tools such as ArchiCAD, SketchUp, or 3ds Max also demonstrated that poor mastery of a tool can limit creativity. In such cases, the software may shape the final form more than the architect's intent, turning the tool into an invisible co-author. These limitations echo the contemporary issues associated with AI, suggesting a continuity in the challenge of preserving authorship and intentionality across technological shifts. Recognizing this continuity helps reinforce the relevance of hybrid models, which aim to balance tool-driven efficiency with the designer's creative control—both in earlier tools and current AI systems.

Furthermore, the growing influence of algorithms on design decisions raises critical ethical and practical questions: who is the actual author of a solution generated by a system, and where does responsibility lie in this new model of shared authorship? These concerns are reflected in current debates on the ethics of AI in architecture [21], where the line between technical assistant and co-author is increasingly blurred, challenging traditional roles.

In response to these challenges, hybrid models of design have gained traction. These models combine algorithmic optimization with the architect's critical, intuitive, and creative judgment. While this paper does not empirically prove the superiority of such models, it proposes them as a compelling direction for further research. In this context, Mustapha El Moussaoui [22] emphasizes that artificial intelligence should not replace architects, but relieve them of repetitive tasks, enabling greater focus on cultural and conceptual dimensions of design.

Ultimately, the key question is no longer whether artificial intelligence will shape architecture, but how architecture will shape the development of artificial intelligence. As Mario Carpo [3] asserts, power lies in shaping the algorithm itself. The architect's ability to design the tools they use, rather than accept them passively, not only preserves creative agency but also defines the ethical boundaries of emerging design paradigms.

5. CONCLUSION

This paper examined the evolving relationship between architect and algorithm through historical phases and proposed a classification based on the degree of their interaction. Rather than framing digital architecture solely through technological progress, the proposed framework emphasizes issues of authorship, control, and responsibility.

The typology enables a better understanding of the shifting role of the architect from traditional author to active co-participant in increasingly algorithmic design processes. The discussion addressed both the benefits and challenges of algorithmic methods and placed them within a broader historical context. Parallels between earlier design tools and AI-driven systems reveal a continuing need to balance computational capability with creative autonomy. This continuity is visible not only in AI-based platforms but also in earlier tools such as ArchiCAD or SketchUp, where limited mastery could constrain creativity and unintentionally shift authorship toward the software. Such examples strengthen the case for hybrid models that combine architectural intent with computational power.

In light of these insights, the hybrid design model is proposed not as a final conclusion, but as a hypothesis for further investigation. The future relevance of architecture may depend on the profession's capacity to shape, rather than merely adopt, the digital tools that now co-author the built environment.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

REFERENCES

[1] Tomić Jovana, Krasić Sonja, Kocić Nastasija: **The influence of generative design on the process of architectural space planning**. *International Conference Synergy of Architecture & Civil Engineering SINARG 2023*, Niš, Serbia, 543-554, 2023.

[2] Kolarević Branko: **Architecture in the Digital Age: Design and Manufacturing**. *Taylor & Francis*, New York, 2003, https://doi.org/10.4324/9780203634561

[3] Carpo Mario: **The Alphabet and the Algorithm**. *MIT Press*, Cambridge, 2011.

[4] Woodbury Robert: **Elements of Parametric Design**. *Routledge*, New York, 2010.

[5] Jabi Wassim: **Parametric Design for Architecture**. *Laurence King Publishing*, London, 2013.

[6] Fox Michael, Bradley Bell: **The Evolution of Computation in Architecture**. *Taylor & Francis*, New York, 2024, https://doi.org/10.4324/9781032671208

[7] Sutherland Ivan: **Sketch pad a man-machine graphical communication system**. *Proceedings of the SHARE design automation workshop*, 6.329 - 6.346 1964, https://doi.org/10.1145/800265.810742

[8] Lucente Roberta, Canestrino Guiseppe: **Distance between theory and practice in a project by Luigi Moretti, parametric architecture's first theorist.** *The Journal of Architecture*, Vol 28(5), 749–777, 2023, https://doi.org/10.1080/13602365.2023.2251029

[9] Negroponte Nicholas: **The Architecture Machine: Toward a More Human Environment**. *MA: MIT Press*, Cambridge, 1970.

[10] Cross Nigel: **The Automated Architect**. *London: Methuen*, 1977.

[11] Mitchell William John: **Computer-Aided Architectural Design**. *New York: Van Nostrand Reinhold Company*, 1979.

[12] Knight Terry, Stiny George: **Making Grammars: From Computing with Shapes to Computing with Things**. *Design Studies*, Vol. 41, Part A, Elsevier, 8–28, 2015, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.destud.2015.08.006.

[13] https://www.jeffreyshawcompendium.com/portfolio/legible-city/*(25.04.2025)*

[14] Hsien-Chun Wu, Ming-Chyuan Ho, Ming-Mao Lai, Ying-Hsun Chen: **Humanizing building with digital technology: Frank Gehry's architectural evolution.** *International Conference on Applied System Innovation (ICASI)*, Okinawa, Japan, 1-4, 2016, https://doi.org/10.1109/ICASI.2016.7539838

[15] Coates Paul, Schmid Claudia: **Agent-Based Modeling. Architectural Computing:** *The 17th Conference on Education in Computer Aided Architectural Design in Europe (eCAADe)*, University of Liverpool, UK, 1999.

[16] Frazer John: **An Evolutionary Architecture**. *London: Architectural Association Publications*, 1995.

[17] https://www.parametricism.com/patrik-schumacher *(04.05.2025)*

[18] Leach Neil: **Architecture in the Age of Artificial Intelligence: An Introduction to AI for Architects**. *Bloomsbury Publishing*, 2021.

[19] https://www.dezeen.com/2023/04/26/zaha-hadid-architects-patrik-schumacher-ai-dalle-midjourney/ *(08.05.2025)*

[20] https://www.wallpaper.com/architecture/zaha-hadid-architects-nvidia-ai-in-architecture*(08.05.2025)*

[21] https://parametric-architecture.com/the-ethics-of-ai-in-architecture/*(11.05.2025)*

[22] https://commonedge.org/redefining-authorship-how-ai-is-changing-what-it-means-to-be-an-architect/*(11.05.2025)*